## Scrutiny Board (City Development) - Last Revised 28th May 2009

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	NOTES	TYPE OF ITEM				
Meeting date: 7 <sup>th</sup>	Meeting date: 7 <sup>th</sup> July 2009						
Recommendation Tracking	To monitor progress on meeting the recommendations agreed on the A660		MSR				
Quarterly Accountability Reports	To receive quarter 4 performance reports		PM				
Scrutiny of the Budget	To consider what aspects of the budget the Board would wishes to consider		PM				
Meeting date: 1st \$							
Quarterly Accountability Reports	To receive quarter 1 performance reports		PM				
Scrutiny of the Budget	To consider the budget		PM				
Recommendation Tracking	To monitor progress on meeting the recommendations agreed on the A660		MSR				
Meeting date: 13 <sup>th</sup>							
Meeting date: 10th							

## Scrutiny Board (City Development) - Last Revised 28th May 2009

	50. a.m. (5.1.) 201.	siopinient) - Last Nevisea Zoth May 2005	
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	NOTES	TYPE OF ITEM
Meeting date: 8 <sup>th</sup>	December 2009		
Scrutiny of the Budget	To consider the budget		PM
Recommendation Tracking	To monitor progress on meeting the recommendations agreed on the A660		MSR
Quarterly Accountability Reports	To receive quarter 2 performance reports		PM
Meeting date: 12 <sup>th</sup>	January 2010	<u> </u>	
Scrutiny of the Budget	To receive budget proposals under the budget and policy framework rules		
Meeting date: 9th	February 2010		
Scrutiny of the Budget	To receive and consider quarter 3 financial report.		PM

## Scrutiny Board (City Development) - Last Revised 28th May 2009

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	NOTES	TYPE OF ITEM			
Meeting date: 9th	Meeting date: 9th March 2010					
Recommendation Tracking	To monitor progress on meeting the recommendations agreed in 2009/2010		MSR			
Quarterly Accountability Reports	To receive quarter 3 performance reports		PM			
Meeting date: 6 <sup>th</sup>						
Annual Report						

## **Comments and Outstanding issues**

- 1. The Board on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2008 asked to be provided with a further report on what short term improvements could be made to reduce traffic congestion at the A661 King Lane junction with the Ring Road. This is in the course of preparation and will be submitted to the Board as soon as it is available.
- 2. The Board in December 2008 asked that further scrutiny be undertaken of the work being carried out to the City Varieties during 2009.
- 3. Possible issue raised by the Board in June 2008 for consideration later in the year Review of the Environmental Policy and EMAS.
- 4. Review of the Loop and major arterial routes onto it. This is to consider a review of the Loop following completion of modelling work undertaken on by the City Development department. This modelling work only commenced in late January 2009 and there will be nothing to report on until June 2009 at the earliest.
- 5. The Board at its meeting in February 2009 asked for details of the process for logging, monitoring and security of planning files and suitable alternatives to **York Stone Paving** particularly in conservation areas in view of the scarcity and cost of York Stone which is being targeted by thieves. A written response was provided by email to all Members of the previous Board.
- 6. The Board also asked for information on the procurement process for the Leeds Arena and funding arrangements but as this was the subject of legal proceedings by one of the companies who tendered for the contract the Scrutiny Board was not able to consider this.
- **Key:** CCFA / RFS Councillor call for action / request for scrutiny RP Review of existing policy DP Development of new policy MSR Monitoring scrutiny recommendations PM Performance management B Briefings (Including potential areas for scrutiny) SC Statutory consultation CI Call in